CHAIRMAN Martin P. Honigberg

COMMISSIONERS Robert R. Scott

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Debra A. Howland

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE



PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 21 S. Fruit St., Suite 10 Concord, N.H. 03301-2429 TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964

Tel. (603) 271-2431

FAX No. 271-3878

Website: www.puc.nh.gov

NHFUC BOTECTAPKZ:12

December 30, 2014

Debra A. Howland
Executive Director
New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit St., Suite 10
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Re: DW 14-300, Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc.

Water Infrastructure and Conservation Adjustment (WICA)

2015-17 Capital Projects and 2015 WICA Surcharge

Staff Recommendation for Approval

Dear Ms. Howland:

On October 29, 2014, Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc. (Aquarion) filed a petition for approval of its 2015 WICA surcharge and its 2015-17 capital projects under its WICA tariff provision. Accompanying the petition was the prefiled testimony of Carl McMorran, Operations Manager, and Troy M. Dixon, Director of Rates and Regulation for Aquarion Water Company of Connecticut, Inc., an affiliate of Aquarion. Aquarion seeks approval of a 2015 WICA surcharge of 2.5386%, based on its completed 2014 WICA capital projects, to be effective for service on and after January 1, 2015. Aquarion also requests approval of its planned 2015 capital expenditures, estimated to be in a cost range of \$905,000 to \$1.074 million. Aquarion further seeks preliminary approval of its planned 2016 projects in the cost range of between \$640,000 and \$775,000. Aquarion also submitted its preliminary budget for its 2017 WICA projects, for informational purposes. The specific water main replacement projects for these three years, as well as the other WICA capital investments, are all detailed on page 2 of Attachment CM-1 to Mr. McMorran's testimony.

The Commission originally approved the WICA as a pilot program in Docket No. DW 08-098. The WICA was continued as a pilot program by the Commission in Aquarion's most recent rate case, DW 12-085. A settlement agreement between Aquarion, Staff and the Office of the Consumer Advocate (OCA) in that docket provided for modifications to the WICA program by, among other things, excluding customer meters from WICA-eligible improvements, and requiring the company to provide an

DW 14-300 Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Page 2 of 3

updated main replacement prioritization analysis and updated infrastructure inventory in its annual WICA filing. Order No. 25,539 (June 28, 2013).

Upon receipt of Aquarion's filing and in accordance with the procedure approved by the Commission in Docket No. DW 08-098, Staff contacted the parties to both DW 08-098 and DW 12-085 including OCA, and the Towns of Hampton, North Hampton and Rye, to establish a timeframe to review the filing and submit recommendations to the Commission. An initial round of discovery was conducted before Staff and the parties met in a technical session on December 9, 2014, to review and discuss the filing and Aquarion's discovery responses. Staff propounded additional data requests to Aquarion subsequent to the technical session, and Aquarion provided its responses on December 15, 2014. Aquarion's responses to all of Staff's discovery requests are attached to this letter.

On December 17, 2014, Jayson P. Laflamme, Utility Analyst in the Commission's Gas & Water Division, submitted a memorandum summarizing his review of Aquarion's proposed 2015 WICA surcharge. Mr. Laflamme reviewed the calculation of the proposed surcharge of 2.5386%, which is based on total WICA investment of \$1,330,696 and includes a total of \$734,050 in capital investment placed in service during the 2013 program year. Mr. Laflamme reviewed invoices and other supporting documents and found no errors or exceptions. He believes the proposed WICA surcharge of 2.5386% is adequately supported and recommends its approval. Mr. Laflamme's memorandum is attached to this letter.

On December 22, 2014, Staff received a memorandum from Douglas W. Brogan, the Commission's former water and sewer engineer now engaged as a consultant, providing the details of his review of the completed 2014 WICA projects. Mr. Brogan's memorandum also provided further comments on Aquarion's proposed WICA projects for 2015-16. Mr. Brogan concluded that the company's 2014 WICA capital investments are used and useful and were prudently-incurred. He further recommended approval of Aquarion's WICA budgets for 2015 and 2016. Mr. Brogan's memorandum is attached to this letter for the Commission's review.

Based on its review, Staff recommends that the Commission approve the 2015 WICA surcharge of 2.5386% effective for service on and after January 1, 2015, consistent with Aquarion's WICA tariff provision. Staff further recommends the Commission approve Aquarion's 2015 WICA project list, and provide its preliminary approval of the 2016 projects. For informational purposes and as estimated by Aquarion in response to Staff data request TS 1-2, the proposed 2015 WICA spending in the amount of \$1,074,000 would result in a total surcharge of 4.5787% to customer bills, for service rendered on and after January 1, 2016.

The OCA did not participate in this docket. The Town of Hampton has indicated to Staff that it plans to file a separate letter of objection to Aquarion's proposed 2015 WICA surcharge. The Town of North Hampton Water Commission supports the Staff's

DW 14-300 Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Page 3 of 3

recommendation. The Town of Rye has not responded to Staff's request for a position on Staff's recommendation.

If there is anything further I can provide, please let me know.

Mark a Maylor

Mark A. Naylor

Director, Gas & Water Division

### Attachments:

Discovery responses
Jayson P. Laflamme memorandum
Douglas W. Brogan memorandum

cc: Docket-Related Service List

#### SERVICE LIST - EMAIL ADDRESSES - DOCKET RELATED

Pursuant to N.H. Admin Rule Puc 203.11 (a) (1): Serve an electronic copy on each person identified on the service list.

Executive.Director@puc.nh.gov amanda.noonan@puc.nh.gov douglas.brogan@myfairpoint.net jayson.laflamme@puc.nh.gov jratigan@dtclawyers.com mark.naylor@puc.nh.gov mgearreald@town.hampton.nh.us mmagnant@town.rye.nh.us ocalitigation@oca.nh.gov papple@northhampton-nh.gov rlandman@hlinstruments.com robyn.descoteau@puc.nh.gov steve.frink@puc.nh.gov steve.frink@puc.nh.gov

Docket #: 14-300-1

Printed: December 30, 2014

### **FILING INSTRUCTIONS:**

a) Pursuant to N.H. Admin Rule Puc 203.02 (a), with the exception of Discovery, file 7 copies, as well as an electronic copy, of all documents including cover letter with:

DEBRA A HOWLAND

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
NHPUC
21 S. FRUIT ST, SUITE 10

CONCORD NH 03301-2429

- b) Serve an electronic copy with each person identified on the Commission's service list and with the Office of Consumer Advocate.
- c) Serve a written copy on each person on the service list not able to receive electronic mail.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014 Date of Response: November 25, 2014 Request No.: Staff 1-1 Witness: Carl McMorran

**REQUEST:** The final cost of the "Well 9 Transmission Main" project reflects a very high cost per foot (\$1,438). Please comment on the final length and scope of the project, and the extent to which the \$57,506 includes any WICA-ineligible costs (see responses to Staff 1-11 and 1-12 in DW 13-314).

RESPONSE: This was a short section of transmission pipe, extending from the pump discharge through the pump station to, and including, the first yard valve. Due to the intervening pump station, the pipe passes through multiple horizontal and vertical bends, increasing the number of joints to be connected to sections of straight pipe. Also, all of the straight pipe sections were shorter than a standard pipe length, so additional labor was required to cut pieces to length and install fittings.

The project cost includes those appurtenances that constitute part of the transmission main (isolation valves, flow conditioner, check valve, and air relief valve) and their associated installation costs, but not the new flow meter which was costed under a separate project number. All costs included in the project are considered WICA eligible.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014

Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-2 Witness: Carl McMorran

**REQUEST:** During the course of docket DW 13-314 the cost estimate of the "Ocean Boulevard - Dumas Ave to Winnacunnet Road" project decreased from \$865,000 to \$664,000, due largely to the planned use of trenchless technology (see company response to Staff 1-13 in that docket). The final

cost in the current filing is \$536,000. Please comment on any specific reasons for the further reduction in cost, including whether trenchless

technology was in fact used.

RESPONSE: The primary reasons for the favorable project cost was due to the use of trenchless technology for pipe replacement and favorable bid pricing. Additionally, the project did not experience any weather interruptions and the project finished ahead of schedule resulting in lower inspection and

traffic control costs.

### Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests - Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014

Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-3

Witness: Carl McMorran

REQUEST: The projected cost range of the "Great Boars Head - Cliff Circle to Ocean Blvd" project is indicated as \$190,000 to \$210,000, which is consistent with the DW 13-314 estimate of \$207,000. Please explain the basis of the \$400,000 figure in the "Total Cost/Estimated Costs" column for that project.

RESPONSE: There is a math error in the spreadsheet used to produce the report. It should be \$210,000, not \$400,000.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014

Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-4 Witness: Carl McMorran

### **REQUEST:** Regarding the Ross Avenue project:

- a) As proposed in DW 13-314, the project consisted of three sections of main totaling 950 feet (response to Staff 1-12 b, see also attachment CM-II, page 1, in that docket). It appears the third section, 241 feet of asbestos-cement main, has been eliminated in the current proposal. Please explain.
- b) Please comment on which sections of the main are impacted by shallow bury and the need for winter bleeding (McMorran testimony p. 9 at bottom).
- c) Is any portion of the street looped with any other street?

#### **RESPONSE:**

- a) The 241-ft segment was installed in 1954 from the west end of Ross Avenue to serve a hydrant. In 1961, the other main was installed on the entire the length of Ross Avenue to provide water service to the structures being built at that time. As our planning process got into more detail, we realized that this older section of main was redundant and could be removed from service, so it will be cut off and abandoned.
- b) The entire length is at too shallow of a depth to avoid freezing.
- c) Yes, the main is connected to the Ocean Boulevard main on the east end, and to a crossover main between Church Street and Kentville Terrace on the west end.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014 Date of Response: November 25, 2014 Request No.: Staff 1-5 Witness: Carl McMorran

REQUEST: Regarding the "Kings Hwy - 11<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> & 15<sup>th</sup> St" project:

a) Please indicate why 12<sup>th</sup> Street is not included.

- b) Mr. McMorran's testimony indicates the Kings Highway main consists of or includes "old bolted joints between pipe segments that leak" (p. 9, line 9), yet the last column in the CM-1 attachment indicates the project is "Proposed for cleaning and lining rehabilitation." The two statements appear inconsistent. Please explain.
- c) Please indicate what replacement or rehabilitation method the current cost estimates are based on.

#### **RESPONSE:**

- a) The 12<sup>th</sup> Street main has already been replaced. It experienced multiple breaks in 2014 and was replaced earlier this year.
- b) Cleaning and lining is being evaluated as an option since some of the current methodologies are effective for sealing small leaks.
- c) Cost estimates are based on historical averages for main replacements.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014 Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-6 Witness: Carl McMorran

**REQUEST:** The final column for the sixth through ninth projects on the attachment indicates "candidate for cleaning and lining." In this regard:

- a) When and how will the rehabilitation v. replacement determination be made?
- b) Are the cost estimates in the attachment based on rehabilitation or replacement?

#### **RESPONSE:**

- a) Options for both rehabilitation versus replacement are being evaluated during the design phase, which will conclude in early 2015. All other factors being equal, cleaning and lining is typically a lower cost alternative. However, constraints may be identified (such as the need to relocate the main vertically and/or horizontally, the need for a larger diameter pipe, site variables that may impair cleaning and lining methodologies) that will call for a full replacement. These factors will be evaluated in the design phase to determine the best option.
- b) Cost estimates are based on average historical replacement costs.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014 Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-7 Witness: Carl McMorran

**REQUEST:** The "Central Rd - Huntervale Ave to Love Ln" project is currently

proposed for 2017, yet attachment CM-2 indicates a Paving Year of 2015.

Please explain.

RESPONSE: Paving projects tend to be delayed based on the Town's budgets. The paving projects are often delayed either due to not being approved at the annual Town's votes, or because the Town's paving priorities change from year to year. Until the road is actually paved, Aquarion chooses to schedule project costs according to the current paving schedule, which currently reflects its priority relative to other roads, even though there is a

chance for modification.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014

Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-8 Witness: Carl McMorran

REQUEST: The "Gentian/Green/Meadow Pond" project proposed for 2015 in DW 13-

314 does not appear in the current filing. Please explain.

RESPONSE: Prioritization factors for all main segments were updated as part of our asset management and capital planning process. Many projects at the top of the list score only a couple of points lower than others, resulting in a shift in projects when the data was updated. This project dropped down

the list relative to other projects.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014 Date of Response: November 25, 2014 Request No.: Staff 1-9 Witness: Carl McMorran

REQUEST: Unlined cast iron mains are rated 2 in the attachment, while Attachment CM-1, page 1, indicates that type of main should be rated 3. Similarly, cement lined cast iron mains are rated 1, whereas Attachment CM-1 indicates they should be rated 2. The inventory listing in DW 13-314 reflected the ratings indicated in Attachment CM-1. Please explain.

RESPONSE: The ratings for pipe material have been revised since the last WICA proceeding, but as a result of an oversight in preparing the testimony the table used in Attachment CM-1 was not. The current ratings are listed below and should replace the Material Integrity Ratings on page 1 of Attachment CM-1.

3 – Galvanized	
2 – Cast iron, unlined	
1 – Cast iron, cement-lined	
1 – Asbestos-cement	
0 – Copper	
0 – Ductile iron	
0 - High Density Polyethylene	
0 – Plastic	
0 - Polyvinyl chloride	

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014

Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-10 Witness: Carl McMorran

**REQUEST:** There do not appear to be any non-zero "Hydraulic" ratings in the current inventory. Please indicate the current status of that rating.

RESPONSE: This factor relates to fire flow capacity and is judged on whether any fire hydrants or fire services can deliver desired flow rates. If flow rates are satisfactory, the pipe segment is scored a zero. If flow rates are not satisfactory, it is scored a three since providing adequate fire flows is an essential function of the distribution system.

At this time, we are not aware of any hydrants or fire services that cannot deliver satisfactory flow rates, so all pipe segments are scored a zero.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014 Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-11 Witness: Carl McMorran

**REQUEST:** A number of changes have been made to the inventory, including new pipe segment ID numbers. Does this reflect conversion to a new system?

Please explain.

**RESPONSE:** The changes do not reflect a conversion to a new system. The changes are caused by asset management improvements and interfacing between our GIS and SAP systems. The new pipe segment ID numbers are the SAP equipment numbers for each pipe segment in GIS.

The number of pipe segments has also been adjusted based on GIS updates and corrections; an on-going process resulting from distribution system projects, field surveys and reviews of paper maps in our files.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff's Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: November 12, 2014

Date of Response: November 25, 2014

Request No.: Staff 1-12 Witness: Troy Dixon

**REQUEST:** Please provide calculations along with appropriate explanations for the following overhead charges:

- a) Project XR230-2013-009 Well 9 Transmission Main: \$7,374.59
- b) Project XC230-2012-006 Ocean Blvd Main Replacement: \$36,657.42

**RESPONSE:** Please refer to Staff 1-12 Attachment A for the calculations.

Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Overhead Calculations - WICA Projects 2014

WBS Element XC230-2012-006-D03	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	Angrei	September	October	November	December	OFF
500001 Contractor - Soft Costs	1,807.98	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	4.807.98
408500 PR Overhead - Cepitalized Payroll Taxes	0000	8 03	000	000	000	00'0	000	0.00	00:00	000	000	000	8.03
920003 Labor Transfer CATS - Non CT Emply.	000	100.32	000	000	000	900	000	000	000	000	000	000	100 32
920400 Indirect Labor. Benefits, and Other	240 40	5 02	000	0000	000	000	000	0.00	000	000	000	000	245 42
926500 PR Overhead- Castalized Fringe Benefits	0.00	42.13	000	0000	000	000	000	000	000	000	00.0	000	42.13
<ul> <li>XC230-2012-006-D03 Ocean Blvd Main Replacement</li> </ul>	5.048.38	155 50	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	\$ 203 88
	-	į			:		1	į		-		, , ,	
Payroll Overhead	% <b>0</b> %	%0%	%9.	%0%	*0.	3	%0°	%0°	%0%	%D:	%0% ***********************************	%0°	
Carculated 17a roll Overhood	0.00	2	000	000	COD	000	000	00.0	000	00'0	0.00	000	
Per SAP	000	91 05	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	900	000	000	90 16
Difference	000	000	00.0	0.00	000	000	010	00'0	000	000	000	00:0	
Control	3	7897	769	789	***	76.9	700	787	40%	407	*	708	
Calculated Barneral (Perspend	240.40		000	0000			0000	0000	000	0000	000	000	
Per SAP	740 46	005	000	900	900	000	889	000	000	000	000	000	CF 55C
Difference	000	000	000	900	000	900	900	000	0.00	000	000	900	294 58 36 657 42
WBS Element XC230-2012-006-E04-343	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
500010 Outside Services - WBS Elements	00.0	000	000	000	00.00	11.188 57	(266.73)	000	1,025.96	000	000	000	11,947 80
500011 Contractor - General - WBS Elements	00.0	00.0	000	00.0	16,000,00	000	000	000	00.0	000	000	000	16,000 00
500012 Contractor - Engineering - WBS Elements	000	00 0	901.16	000	000	36,953.21	00:0	1,957.50	000	000	990	000	79.811.87
500013 Contractor - Excavation - WBS Elements	000	000	000	000	935 00	000	000	000	000	000	000	00.0	935 00
500014 Contractor - Inspection - WBS Elements	00:0	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	00.0	000
500015 Contractor - Installation - WBS Elements	000	000	000	00 0	00 656 95	160,886 00	000	20,787,62	000	000	000	000	238 632 62
500016 Paving - WBS Elements	000	00:00	0 (10)	0.00	00.0	5,000 00	000	15,756.72	000	000	(1 <b>0</b> 0	000	20,756.72
500020 Materials - WBS Elements	000	000	000	000	9.5118.24	50,726,79	24,074,33	2,634.00	000	0.00	00.0	00.00	86,943.36
500030 Misoellanoous Costs - WBS Elements	000	000	000	000	0.00	000	000	000	4.69	000	0.00	000	69 7
500032 Sod & Seed Restoration - WBS Elements	0.00	00.0	000	000	000	125 00	000	0000	00.0	000	(10 0)	000	125 00
408500 PR Overhead - Capitalized Payroll Taxes	0000	409.31	232.74	424.45	541.30	42.91	00.0	46.78	000	000	000	000	96,789.1
920002 Supervisory Labor Transfer CATS - CT	000	0000	000	1,058 64	577.44	384.96	00.0	0.410	0.00	000	000	000	2.021.04
920003 Labor Transfer CATS - Non CT Empl's.	0000	5,116.32	2.9(1) 28	4 247 03	6.062 48	151.32	000	584.76	00.0	000	000	00:0	19,071.19
920400 Indirect Labor, Benefits, and Other	000	255.82	190.52	265.28	4.502.11	13 270 79	1,190.38	2,086 U.	51.53	0.00	00.00	00 0	21.812.46
926500 PR Overhead- Capitalized Fringe Benefits	0000	2.148 85	1,221,90	2,291.90	2.823 41	248 33	000	245 60	000	0.00	000	000	897999
VC 20, 2012 106, End. 213 Opens Blad Main Benjacement	000	2020 30	4 456 50	Of 791 B	200 0000	-			0. 000	000	90.0		

SOUND Paving - WES Elements	0						000	15.756.72	000	000	300	050	20,756.72
500020 Materials - WBS Elements	00						24,074,33	2,634.00	000	0.00	00.0	00.00	86,943.36
500030 Misoellaneous Costs - WBS Elements	00						000	000	4.69	000	00.00	000	69 7
500032 Sod & Seed Restoration - WBS Elements	0.0						000	00.00	00.0	000	000	000	125 00
408500 PR Overhead - Capitalized Payroll Taxes	0	0.00					00.0	46.78	000	000	0.00	000	98.789
920002 Supervisory Labor Transfer CATS - CT	30						0110	DAK	0.00	000	00.0	000	2.021.04
920003 Labor Transfer CATS - Non CT Empl's.	00						000	584.76	000	000	000	00.00	61.170,91
920400 Indirect Labor, Benefits, and Other	00						1,190.38	2,086 U.3	51.53	000	00.00	000	21.812.46
926500 PR Overhead- Capitalized Fringe Benefits	00						000	245 60	000	00.00	000	000	897999
<ul> <li>XC230-2012-006-E04-343 Ocean Blvd Main Replacement</li> </ul>	00		0 5.455 60	8 287 30	97.898 88	278.977 R8	24 997 98	10 660 11	1.082.18	0.00	00:0	000	468 729 13
HN		%0°	%0%	%95	3	%05	%0%	%0%	%0%	%0%	%95	%0%	
L	CT Rate 56%	%9%	%9%	%95	%9%	% 95	%9.	%9%	%9%	%98	%9%	%9%	
Payroll Overhead													
Calculated Payroll Overhead - NH Employees	0.0			2,123 52	3,031.24	75 66	000	292.38	0.00	000	0.00	00.00	
Calculated Payroll Overhead - CT Employees	0.0			592 84	323 37	215 58	000	00'0	0.00	00:00	00:00	00:00	
Calculated Payroll Overhead	0.0			2,716.36	3,354.61	291.24	00.0	292.38	0000	00.0	00.0	00'0	
Per SAP	00.0		1454.64	2,716 35	3,354.61	291 24	000	292.38	00.0	00.00	00.0	0.00	10,667,38
Difference	00	00:0		0.01	00.0	0.00	000	00'0	000	00:00	0.00	0.00	
General Overhead	5%			36%	***	85	%¥	%	%%	%%	%%	868	
Calculated General Overhead	00:00	0 255.82	2 190.52	265 28	4,502.11	13.270.79	1,190,38	2,086 03	51.53	00.0	00.00	000	
Per SAP	00			265.28	4,502 11	13.270 79	1,190.38	2,086 03	51.53	0.00	00.00	000	21,812,46
Difference	0.0			000	0.00	000	00'0	000	0.00	000	00.0	000	32,479.84
	The same of the sa	ŀ	ı					The real Property lies and the least lies and the l				The second secon	

Estimation of Greeral Osciences described that are not specific to any one project General Osciences faithgreet or capital that are not specific to any one project General overhand in assessed to all eligible construction projects at the end of each month. Then to have a based on the coals of each month in prior to have a based on the coals of each more project as a percentage of the total cligible projects each month. Then to April 2009, the amenbodology was elemented to the standard of the fact and the project and the month of the April 2009 to the amenbodology was elemented to the project and the project and the coals are all as the client of the project and the project and the application of the fact and the coals will be a project and the project and the standard and application to the system assessment.

Exhimation of Material Handling Assessment
Material handling represents targets to examing T&D captul that are not specific to any one project.
Material handling in seasoft to all displic construction projects at the end of each month.
The assessment was based on the costs of each receiver project as a percentage of the total cligble projects each month.
That, the rate will very from month to month and each selected projects and compare the calculation to the system asset.
The above includes reachitate material handling for each selected projects and compare the calculation to the system asset.

<u>Busination of Parvell Octored Anexement</u>
Payiol corporal cryecates the percentage of timps benefits and payoff expense.

Payoff corporal cryecates the recording of timps benefits and payoff and payoff expense.

Payoff overhead is a payed on the logarithms of each year based on the timps benefit and payoff increase as percent of the total payoff budget.

Payoff overhead is applied to the lotal direct and infinited labor for each job at the real of the month.

The above exhedular resolvabilite payoff on cheast for each sector program of months of the system calculation.

Payoff overhead applied to CF employees that their pick in time to NII propects is computed using the CT payoff overhead rate.

Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Overhead Calculations - WICA Projects 2013

WBS Element XC230-2012-006-A02	January	February	Marco	April	La LA	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	A DUM
500001 Contractor - Soft Costs	00'0	00.0	000	00'0	00.00	00.00	00.00	000	00'0	00.0	00.0	58'111'†	4,111.85
408500 PR Overhead - Capitalized Payroll Taxes	00.0	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.0	00.0	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.00	46.52	46.52
920003 Labor Transfer CATS - Non CT Empl's	00'0	000	00'0	00.00	00.00	00.0	00.00	00'0	00'0	00'0	0.00	581.52	581 52
920400 Indirect Labor, Benefits, and Other	00.0	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.0	00.0	00.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	00.00	471.21	471.21
920500 Indirect Labor, Benefits, and Other	00.00	00.0	00.0	00.00	00.00	00.0	00.0	00'0	00.00	00'0	00'0	(457.29)	(457.29)
926500 PR Overhead- Capitalized Frings Benefits	00.0	00.0	000	000	00.0	000	00:0	00.00	00.00	0.00	00.0	8.1	8.7
<ul> <li>XC230-2012-006-Au2 Ocean Blvd Main Replacemen</li> </ul>	00.00	000	00.0	000	000	000	000	000	00.0	00:0	000	\$ 195.77	\$ 195.77
Payroll Overhead	%78	*12	84%	*2	%78	*#	%7%	87%	%18	* 72	*	**2	
Calculated Payroll Overhead	000	000	000	000	00.0	00'0	00'0	000	0.00	000	0000	488.48	
Per SAP	00 0	000	000	0000	00 0	00 0	00 0	00.0	000	00.00	000	488 48	84 884 488
Difference	000	00.00	000	00 0	00 0	0.00	000	00.00	0.00	000	00 0	000	
General Overhead	% <b>\$</b>	8%	8%	8%	8%	5%	***	3%	%*	1%	**	0.2965%	
Calculated General Overhead	000	0000	000	000	0.00	00.0	0.00	000	000	000	0000	13 92	
Per SAP	0000	000	0000	0000	00 0	00 0	00 0	000	000	00.0	00 0	13.92	13.92
Difference	00'0	00.0	000	00.0	00 0	000	00.0	000	000	000	0.00	00.0	\$02,40
WBS Element XC230-2013-009-E04-343	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
W BO Element ACLON-FOLD-COP-543	-	reninary	TAIRLE I	nide.	THE WAY	2000	Anne	Cugust	October 1960	October	November	December	1018
500001 Contractor - Soft Costs	90.0	00.0	000	00.00	00.0	8 3	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	000	2.921.00	2.921.00
500013 Contractor - Excavation - WBS Elements	00.0	00.0	900	00.00	00.0	00.0	80.0	0.00	000	8.0	00.0	4,685.00	4,685,00
500014 Contractor - Inspection - WBS Elements	00.0	00.00	00.0	0.00	00:0	000	00.0	000	00.0	000	516.75	0+11/8'9	7,388.15
500015 Contractor - Installation - WBS Elements	90.0	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.0	000	0.00	00.0	00'0	00.0	00.0	20,000,00	20,000.00
500020 Materials - WBS Elements	0.00	00.0	000	00'0	00.0	00.0	00'0	00.0	00'0	00.0	4,377,73	7,431,44	11,809,17
408500 PR Overhead - Capitalized Payroll Taxes	00'0	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	00.00	00.0	0.00	65.76	112.14	56.13	266.26
920003 Labor Transfer CATS - Non CT Empl's	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	000	00.0	00'0	00.00	1,224.85	1.401.70	701.61	3,328,16
920400 Indirect Labor, Benefits, and Other	000	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.0	000	00 0	00'0	00.0	85.84	251.85	4,278.09	4,578.93
926500 PR Overhead- Capitalized Fringe Benefitt	000	00.0	000	00.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	0.00	000	930.89	1.065.29	\$33.22	2,529.40
<ul> <li>XC230-2013-009-E04-343 Well 9 Transmission Main</li> </ul>	000	000	00.0	000	00.0	00.00	00.0	000	00.00	2,302,72	7,725.46	17, 177, 89	\$7,506.07
Payroll Overhead	%18	84%	84%	84%	84%	%H%	***	81%	%7X	%72	%7	%78	
Calculated Payroll Overhead	00.0	00'0	00.0	00.00	00'0	00.0	00 0	00.0	00.00	1,028.87	1,177,43	589.35	
Per SAP	00.00	00.0	000	00.0	00.0	000	000	00.0	000	1.028.88	1,177,43	589.35	2,795.66
Difference	000	000	000	0.00	00 0	000	00 0	000	00.0	(1001)	000	000	
General Overhead	3%	5%	%\$	2%	2%	%\$	%5	%%	767	%7	%*	10.04%	
Calculated General Overheac	00'0	00'0	000	00.0	00.00	000	00.0	0.00	00.0	86 84	251.85	4.278.09	
Per SAP	00'0	00.00	000	00.0	00 0	0000	0.00	0.00	000	66 84	251.85	4,278.09	4.578.93
Difference	00.0	000	000	00.00	000	00.0	00.0	000	000	000	000	00.0	7 271 60

Exbination of General Overhead Assessment
General overhead represents charges to capital that are not specific to any one projec
General overhead is assessed to all eligible construction projects at the end of each mont
General overhead is assessed to all eligible construction projects at the end of each mont
Provito April, 2009, the assessment was based on the cross of each receiver project as a percentage of the total eligible projects each mont
In April, 2009, the methodology was changed so that general overhead was allocated to eligible receiver projects using a pre-determined in
April, 2009, the methodology was changed so that general overhead was allocated to eligible receiver projects using a pre-determined in
This the rates will very from month to month proto April 2009, as well as each Decembe
The above schedules recalculate general overhead for each selected project and compare the calculation to the system assessmen

Explanation of Material Handline Assessment
Material handling represents charges to recurring T&D capital that are not specific to any one projec
Material handling is assessed on all feligible construction projects at the end of each month
The assessment was based on the costs of each receiver project as a percentage of the total eligible projects each mont
Thus, the rates will very from month to month
The above schedules recalculate material handling for each selected project and compare the calculation to the system assessmen

Exhanation of Parroll Overthead Assessment
Payroll overhead represents the percentage of fringe benefits and payroll taxes to total payroll evepens
The payroll overhead rate is set as the beginning of each year based on the firmge benefits and payroll avers as a percent of the total badg.
Payroll overhead is applied to the total direct and indirect labor for each job at the end of the mont.
The above schedules retalculate payroll overhead for each selected project and compare the calculation to the system calculation.

### Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Overhead Calculations - WICA Projects

WBS Element XC230-2012-006-D03	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
500001 Contractor - Soft Costs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,366.30	15,848.95	2,939.75	0.00	1,187.58	0.00	25,342.58
500017 Surveying - WBS Elements	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,600.00	5,126.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11,726.00
500031 Permit Fees - WBS Elements	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,743.52	0.00	549.30	0.00	3,106.76	6,422.70	12,822.28
408500 PR Overhead - Capitalized Payroll Taxes	0.00	30.11	0.00	0.00	31.01	31.01	106.87	96.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	295.77
920003 Labor Transfer CATS - Non CT Empl's	0 00	376.40	0.00	0.00	387.68	387.68	1,335.84	1,209.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,697.20
920400 Indirect Labor, Benefits, and Other	0.00	18.82	0.00	0.00	19.38	19.38	802.28	1,109.23	174.45	0.00	214.72	(321.14)	2,037.12
920500 Indirect Labor, Benefits, and Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	(1,171.61)	(1,171.61
926500 PR Overhead-Capitalized Fringe Benefits	0.00	225.84	0.00	0.00	232.61	232.61	801.50	725.76	0.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	2,218.32
XC230-2012-006-D03 Ocean Blvd Main Replacement	0.00	651.17	0.00	0.00	670.68	670.68	17,756.31	24,116.31	3,663.50	0.00	4.509.06	4.929.95	56,967.66
Payroll Overbead	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	68%	
Calculated Payroll Overhead	0.00	255.95	0.00	0.00	263 62	263 62	908 37	822 53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Per SAP	0.00	255.95	0 00	0.00	263 62	263 62	908.37	822.53	0.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	2,514.09
Difference	0 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	0 00	0.00	
General Overhead	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	-23.242%	
Calculated General Overhead	0.00	18.82	0.00	0.00	19.38	19.38	802.28	1,109.23	174.45	0.00	214.72	(1.492.76)	
Per SAP	0.00	18.82	0.00	0.00	19 38	19.38	802 28	1,109.23	174.45	0.00	214.72	(1,492 75)	865.51
Difference	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	(0.01)	3,379.60

#### Explanation of General Overhead Assessment

General overhead represents charges to capital that are not specific to any one project

General overhead is assessed to all eligible construction projects at the end of each month

Prior to April 2009, the assessment was based on the costs of each receiver project as a percentage of the total eligible projects each month

In April, 2009, the methodology was changed so that general overhead was allocated to eligible receiver projects using a pre-determined rate

Any residual general overhead balance was then cleared out in December each year

Thus, the rates will very from month to month prior to April 2009, as well as each December,

The above schedules recalculate general overhead for each selected project and compare the calculation to the system assessments

#### Explanation of Material Handling Assessment

Material handling represents charges to recurring T&D capital that are not specific to any one project

Material handling is assessed to all eligible construction projects at the end of each month

The assessment was based on the costs of each receiver project as a percentage of the total eligible projects each month

Thus, the rates will very from month to month

The above schedules recalculate material handling for each selected project and compare the calculation to the system assessments

#### Explanation of Payroll Overhead Assessment

Payroll overhead represents the percentage of fringe benefits and payroll taxes to total payroll expense.

The payroll overhead rate is set at the beginning of each year based on the fringe benefits and payroll taxes as a percent of the total payroll budget.

Payroll overhead is applied to the total direct and indirect labor for each job at the end of the month

The above schedules recalculate payroll overhead for each selected project and compare the calculation to the system calculation.

Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Technical Session Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: December 9, 2014

Date of Response: December 15, 2014

Request No.: TS-1

Witness: Troy Dixon

**REQUEST:** Please indicate how Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc. financed the capital investment in the WICA program.

RESPONSE: Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc. finances its rate base additions, including the WICA projects that are the subject of this proceeding, through a combination of internally generated funds (i.e., depreciation and net income) and internal or external financing. Aquarion does not identify a different capital structure for individual projects. Aquarion's overall capital structure and associated cost rates at September 30, 2014 (when the projects were all used and useful) are indicated below:

	Aqu	arion Water Compa	ny of New Ha	mpshire, Inc.	
	Long-term Debt	Short-term /Intercompany Debt	Preferred Stock	Common Equity	Total Capitalization
Amount at Sept 2014	\$13,900,000	(\$1,977,923)*	\$2,800	\$11,198,611	23,123,488
Cost	6.13%	2.77%	6.00%	9.60%	

<sup>\*</sup>Reflects \$905,000 of available cash that is expected to be credited to customers over proposed 3 year time span.

# Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Technical Session Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: December 9, 2014

Date of Response: December 15, 2014

Request No.: TS 1-2 Witness: Troy Dixon

REQUEST: Please provide calculations showing the current projected WICA

surcharges anticipated for 2016, 2017 and 2018.

RESPONSE: The Company's anticipated WICA surcharge calculations for 2016, 2017 and 2018 are provided in TS 1-2 Attachment A. The amount of the surcharge is projected to exceed the WICA can of 7.5% in 2018

surcharge is projected to exceed the WICA cap of 7.5% in 2018. Accordingly the Company would only seek a surcharge up to the

authorized 7.5% level.

### Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire 2016 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION OF SURCHARGE

Line						Schedule Ref.	
2	Total Investment thro	ough 09/30/2014	1			2016 3 Cal 6b Ln 26	\$ 2,404,696
3	Accumulated Depres	ation				2016 3 Col 8a Ln 26	(14,515)
5 6	Net Investment					Ln 2 + Ln 4	\$ 2,390,181
7 8	Allowed Return on R	ate Base				2016 2 Col 10	 7.49%
9 10	Allowed Return on In	vestment				Ln 6 x Ln 8	\$ 179,025
11 12	Income Tax on Equit	y Component					
13 14		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
15 16		Weighted Cost M	Tax lultiplier	Pre tax Cost	Tax Gross Up Col (c) - Col (a)		
17 18	Debt	3.58%	1.00	3.58%	0.00%		
19 20	Equity	3.91%	1.68	6.57%	2.66%		
21 22		7.49%		10.15%	2.66%		
23 24	Total Eligible Investm	nent (Line 6 abo	ove)		\$ 2,390,181		
25 26 27	Income Tax Expense	•				Ln 21 Col D X Ln 23	63,579
28 29	Depreciation Expens	е				2016 3 Col 8 Ln 26	29,030
30 31	Property Tax Expens	ie .				2016 3 Col 10 Ln 26	46,129
32 33	Adjustment: Annual F	Revenues Allowe	ed			Lines 10 + 26 + 28 + 30	\$ 317,763
34 35	Page Davienuse on u		A 20 E				
36	Base Revenues on w Revenues allowed I	DW 12-085		pplied			\$ 7,129,043
37 38	Misc. Charges not s	subject to WICA					\$ (189,059) 6,939,984
39 40	Surcharge Percent (L	lne 32 divided b	by Line 38	))			 4.5787%

### Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire 2016 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE & CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT COMPLETED PROJECTS

		1	2	3	4		5		6		7		8		9	10		11	12 = ((4-5) x 10) + 7 + 8 + 9
Line	Project Name or Class Category	Estimated Completion Date**	Actual Completion Date	Project Cost**	Actual Project Cost		Accumulated Depreciation	Acc	minus iumulated preciation		neciation spense		erty Tax spense		xpense	Rate of Return		nuel Retail levenues	WICA Adjustment Dollars
1	2013 Projects													_					
2	Production Melers	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	\$ 18,030	5 16.46	8 \$	244	\$	16,222	5	488	\$	237	5	431	7.49%	5	7,129,043	2,372
3	Main Replacements	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	5 -	\$ 689,85	6 5	4,027	\$	685,829	5	8,054	5	14,844	S	18,243	7.49%	\$	7.129.043	92.609
4	Hydrants*	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	\$ 32,700	\$ 8,14	7 \$	87	\$	8,060	5	174	\$	161	5	214	7.49%	5	7,129,043	1,153
5	Services*	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	\$ 80,600	\$ 14,41	4 5	126	5	14.288	5	252	\$	286	5	380	7.49%	3	7,129,043	1,988
6	Valves*	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	\$ 10.800	\$ 5,16	7 \$	129	\$	5,038	5	258	\$	108	5	134	7 49%	5	7.129,043	878
7	2014 Projects																		
8	Production Meters	30-Sep-14	30-Sep-14	\$ 1,600	S 3,04	4 \$	61	5	2,983	S	122	5	62	s	79	7 49%	5	7,129,043	487
9	Main Replacements	30-Sep-14		\$ 937.968	S 593.60	3 \$	3,502	5	590,101	5	7.004	5	13.074	5	15 697	7 49%	3	7,129.043	79 972
10	2015 Projects																		
11	Production Meters	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$ 7,000	\$ 7.00	0 \$	18	\$	6,982	5	36	5	13	\$	186	7 49%	\$	7,129,043	758
12	Main Replacements	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$1.087.000	5 1.087.00	00 5	6,321	\$	1.060,679	5	12,642	\$	17 244	\$	28,214	7.49%	5	7,129,043	137,545
13																			
14																			
15																			
16																			
17																			
18																			
19	TOTALS		-	\$ 2,155,698	\$ 2,404.6	96 5	14.515	5 \$	2,390.181	\$	29 030	5	46.129	\$	83.579				\$ 317,763
20			•																
21	* Emergency Replacement																		
22	* * Per Docket Filing DW 13-314				(4)														
23	S TESTED TO SEE THE SEE SEE TYPE!																		

#### Aquarion Water Company of New Hampahire 2016 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT ELIGIBLE PROJECTS PLACED IN SERVICE

201	escription of Project Stree: 113 Projects roduction Meters	Town	Acd	Rate		Service	Date of 1st Filing	-	Total		Eligible otal Costs		Ot al	Eligible Retirement		Depreciation Expense	Accumulated Depreciation	V	Mil Ret			Prop Tex
	roduction Metars				Project	3614100	THE Parish	C.	prai Cosa	CO:	JILLIN COSIS	PERMIT	BET 192-6 12 38	Call Calmin	-	Expense	Deprecation	TOWN	Sune	TOU	-	Expense
1 1		Rye	328	4.40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	3 432	5	3,432	5	3.033	3.03	3 5	18	s e	8.5	6 60	151	3 \$	6
2 Pro	roduction Meters	North Hampton	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	8/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	4,511	5	4,511	2	2 331	2 33	1 5	96	S 48	14.2	6 60	20 8	8 5	44
3 Pro	roduction Meters	Hampion	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	5	8 522	5	6.522	5		5	2	375	S 187	158	8 50	22 4	0 5	187
4 Chi	hurch Street-Highland Avenue to Williams Street	Hampion	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	s	133,335	5	133,335	S	6.243	6,24	3 5	1 525	S 763	15.8	0.60	22 4	0 5	2,830
5 Aut	ubum Avenue	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	S	206,750	5	206,750	s	10.346			2.357	5 1.178	15 8	6.60	22 4	0 5	4.373
6 Aut	ubum Avenue Extension	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	5	85.554	5	85.554	\$	359	35	2 6	1.022	\$ 511	15 B	8.80	22 4	0 5	1.897
7 Per	srkins Avenue	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		264,216	-	264.216		1.760			3 149	•				0 5	5.844
43	ydrants'	Hampton	348	2 40%	Hydrents	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		22,839	-	8.147		2.498		1 5	174			8.60		-	161
	Brykoss*	Hampton	345	1 85%	Services	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		33.941		12,108	-2	1 994		1 5	211	7		6.60	-		253
	erviora"	Rye	345	1 85%	Services	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		6.484	-	2,308		230		2 5	41			6.60			33
	Blves*	Hampion	349	5 00%	Other T&D Plant	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		6.351		2.265	-				113	T	1000	6.60	2000	7	49
	Blvop*	North Hampion	349	5 00%		9/30/2013	10/31/2013	5.75	8 133		2.901					145				20 8		59
	014 Projects	MOINT HERITIPION	• • •	0 00 10		343012013	10/3/12/13	•	0.100	•	2,001	•	100			145		172	0.00	200		-
	roduction Meters	Hampton	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2014	10/31/2014		3.044		3.044		264		4 5	122	S 61	15.0	8 60	27.4	۸ ۴	62
	el 9 Transmission Main	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2014	10/31/2014		57,506		57.506		3.160			652			6 60		_	1,217
	cean Boulevard- Dumes Ave to Winnacumet Ro	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2014	10/31/2014	300	535,096	200	536.098	-	6.801			8.352			6 50	100000	65 35S	11,858
17	COMIT DODINATO DUTIES ATO ID THIS ISCONICE TO	папфыя			man napata nan	0.00.40	10/0/120:4	•	000,000	•	500,000	•	0,001	0,00		0,000	0,170				• •	11,000
	915 Projects																					
19 Pro	roduction Meters	Hempton	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	8/30/2015	10/31/2015	i	\$7,000	\$	7 000		\$6,188		6 \$	36		158	6.90			13
	reat Boars Head - Citf Circle to Ocean Blvd	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	8/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$210,000		210,000		\$2,664			2 488						3,462
	ings Hwy - 11th, 13th, 14th & 15th St	Hempton	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$213,000		213,000		\$2.702			2 524					3 2	3,512
	oss Avenue	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$579,000		579 000		\$7,348			6 860						9.546
	able Rd - Central Rd to Huntervale Ave	Rye	343	1 20%	Man Replacement	8/30/2015	10/31/2015	•	\$65.000	2	65 000		\$825	\$ 82	8 8	770	\$ 385	8 5	6 60	151	3 5	724
24 25																						
28						9	TOTALS	3	2 454 696	3	2 404 698	5	58 742	\$ 55.70	5 5	29 030	\$ 14 515	•			3	46 129
27						•					2018 1			-		to 2018 1	10 2018 1	•			-	to 2018 1
	otal capital costs are reduced by \$50,000 prop	ortionately per O	rder No. 2	5.639. Red	irements are also or	vietenotrogo	reduced				Ln 2					Ln 28	Ln4					Ln 30

<sup>29 &</sup>quot;Type of Project (designations will be given for various types of projects)
30 ""Property Tax Expense is for nine months for the 2014 proposed surcharge projects.

# Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire 2017 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION OF SURCHARGE

Line						Schedule Ref.	
1	Total Investment thro	ough 09/30/20	114			2017 3 Col 6b Ln 45	\$ 3,179,696
3 4	Accumulated Deprec	iation				2017 3 Col 8a Ln 45	(19,205)
5 6	Net Investment					Ln 2 + Ln 4	\$ 3,160,491
7 8	Allowed Return on R	ate Base				2017 2 Col 10	 7.49%
10	Allowed Return on In	vestment				Ln 6 x Ln 8	\$ 236,721
11 12 13	Income Tax on Equit	y Component					
14 15 16		(a) Weighted Cost	(b) Tax Multiplier	(c) Pre tax Cost	(d) Tax Gross Up Col (c) - Col (a)		
17 18 19	Debt Equity	3.58% 3.91%	1.00 1.68	3.58% 6.57%	0.00% 2.66%		
20 21 22		7.49%		10.15%	2.66%		
23 24 25	Total Eligible Investr	nent (Line 6 a	ibove)		\$ 3,160,491		
26 27	Income Tax Expense	·				Ln 21 Col D X Ln 23	84,069
28 29	Depreciation Expens	e				2017 3 Col 8 Ln 45	38,411
30 31	Property Tax Expens	e				2017 3 Col 10 Ln 45	58,897
32 33 34	Adjustment: Annual F	Revenues Allo	owed			Lines 10 + 26 + 28 + 30	\$ 418,097
35 36 37 38 39	Base Revenues on w Revenues allowed I Misc. Charges not s	OW 12-085		applied			\$ 7,129,043 (189,059) 6,939,984
40	Surcharge Percent (L	ine 32 divide	d by Line 3	В)			6.0245%

### Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire 2017 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

#### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE & CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT COMPLETED PROJECTS

		1	2	3	4		5		6		7		8		9	10		11	12 = ((4-5) x 10) + 7 + 8 + 9
Line	Project Name or Class Calegory	Estimated Completion Date <sup>→</sup>	Actual Completion Date	Estimated Project Cost**	Actual Pro Cost	ect	Accumulated Depreciation	A	roject Cost minus ocumulated epreciation		preciation expense		erty Tax pense		ome Tax xpense	Rate of Return		nual Retall evenues	WICA Adjustment Dollars
1	2013 Projects		100000- 21	100															
2	Production Meters	30-Sep-13				466			16,222		488				431			7,129,043	
3	Main Replacements	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13			856			685,829		<b>B,054</b>	\$	14 944	5	18,243	7 49%	5	7,129,043	
4	Hydrants*	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13			147		5	8,060		174		181		214	7.49%	\$	7,129,043	1,153
5	Services*	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	\$ 80,800	5 14	414	\$ 126	\$	14,288	5	252	\$	286	\$	380	7.49%	5	7,129,043	1,988
6	Valves*	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	\$ 10,600	5 5	167	5 129	5	5.038	5	258	5	108	5	134	7.49%	\$	7,129,043	876
7	2014 Projects		34.0																
8	Production Meters	30-Sep-14	30-Sep-14	\$ 1,600	5 3	C44	<b>S</b> 61	\$	2,983	\$	122	\$	62	5	79	7.49%	\$	7,129,043	487
9	Main Replacements	30-Sep-14	30-Sep-14	\$ 937,968	\$ 593	603	\$ 3.502	5	590,101	\$	7 004	\$	13 074	\$	15,697	7.49%	5	7,129,043	79,972
10	2015 Projects	73855 Janoby en 98 48	41.00 TOTAL																
11	Production Meters	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$ 7,000	S 7.	000	\$ 18	5	6,982	5	36	\$	13	\$	186	7 49%	5	7,129,043	758
12	Main Replacements	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$1,067,000	\$ 1,087	000	\$ 6.321	\$	1,060,679	\$	12 842	5	17 244	5	28,214	7.49%	\$	7,129,043	137,545
13	\$2500m**C\$500m***	230000-20- <b>3</b> 00 9900																	
14	2016 Projects																		
15	Production Meters	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$ 7,000	5 7	.000	S 141	\$	6.859	\$	281	3	105	5	182	7 49%	\$	7,129,043	1.083
16	Main Replacements	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$ 768,000	S 768	000	\$ 4,550	5	763,450	5	9 099	5	12 662	5	20,308	7,49%	S	7,129,043	99,252
17	1:		13.5	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	121													100	
18																			
19	TOTALS			\$ 2 930,698	5 3.179	696	\$ 19.20	5 5	3,150,491	5	38.411	5	58.897	S	84,069				\$ 418,097
20	5 5000 AUSS		•					_						-					

<sup>\*</sup> Emergency Replacement
\*\* Per Docket Filing DW 13-314

### Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire 2017 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT ELIGIBLE PROJECTS PLACED IN SERVICE

Line	Description of Project		1 PUC Acct	2 Depr Rate	Type of Project	4 Date in Service	5 Date of tst Filing	Ca	6a Total speal Costs		6b Eligible ptal Costs	Т	7a otal oments	7b Eligible Retrements	8 = (6b - 7b) x 2 Depreciation Expense	Ac	a = 8 x 50% coumulated eprocustion		9 Mi Ret			0 = (((6b-7b-8a)/1000) x 9) Prop Tax Expense***
	Street	Town	1																			
	2013 Projects																					
1	Production Meters	Rye	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	3,432	\$	3.432	5	3,033	\$ 3,033	3 18	\$	9	B 53	5.60	15	13 \$	6
2	Production Mesers	North Hampton	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	5	4,511	5	4,511	\$	2,331	\$ 2.331	5 95	3	48	14 26	8 60	20	88 \$	44
3	Production Meters	Hampton	328	4 40%	Other Pump og	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	8.522	S	8.522	5		5 -	\$ 375	\$	187	15 80	6 60	22	40 5	187
4	Church Street-Highland Avenue to Williams Street	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	5	133,335	5	133.335	\$	0,243	\$ 8 243	\$ 1,525	5	763	15 90	6 60	22	40 \$	2,830
5	Aubum Avenue	Hampton	343	1 20%	Маи Вершеетел	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	5	20€,750	5	206 750	5	10,346	\$ 10,348	\$ 2,367	5	1,179	15 80	6.60	22	40 \$	4,373
6	Auburn Avenue Extension	Hampion	343	1 20%	Main Replecement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	85.554	\$	85.554	S	359	\$ 359	\$ 1,022	\$	511	15 80	6 80	22	40 \$	1,897
7	Perkins Avenue	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replecement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	3	264,218	\$	264 216	\$	1.780	S 1.760	\$ 3,149	5	1.575	15 30	8 60	22	40 \$	5,844
8	Hwdrants*	Hampton	348	2 40%	Hydrants	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	22,839	5	8.147	3	2,498	\$ 891	\$ 174	5	37	15 80	6 60	22	40 \$	161
9	Services*	Hampton	345	1 85%	Serv ces	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	3	33,941	S	12 108	5	1,994	5 711	3 211	5	105	15.50	6 60	22	40 \$	253
10	Services*	Rye	345	1 65%	Services	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	S	6.464	\$	2 308	5	230	\$ 82	\$ 41	5	21	8 5	6.60	15	13 \$	33
11	Va'ves*	Hampton	349	5 00%	Other 7&D Plant	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		6 351	5	2.285	5	4	s -	\$ 113	5	57	15 9/	8 80	22	40 \$	49
12	Velves*	North Hampton	349	5 00%	Other T&D Plant	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		8,133	5	2 901	5	4	5 -	\$ 145	\$	73	14 26	8 60	20	86 \$	59
13	2014 Projects							11.51	10.4			-					0.02					
14	Production Meters	Hampion	325	4.40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2014	10/31/2014	5	3.044	\$	3.044	5	264	\$ 254	\$ 122	5	61	15 5	6 60	22	40 \$	62
15	Well 9 Transmission Main	Hampion	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	8/30/2014	10/31/2014	\$	57,508	5	57 506	\$	3,180	\$ 3,180	\$ 852	5	328	15 80	6 60	22	40 \$	1,217
16	Ocean Soulevard- Dumas Ave to Winnacurriet Rd	Hempion	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2014	10/31/2014	5	538,098	5	538 096	5	6 801	\$ 8.801	\$ 5352	5	3,175	15.9	660	22	40 5	11,856
17																						
18	2016 Projects																					
19	Production Melens	Hampton	328	4 40%		9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$7,000		7,000		\$6,166				18	15 80			40 \$	
20	Great Boars Head - Cliff Circle to Ocean Blvd	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$210,000		210,000		\$2 684				1,244	15 80			40 \$	
21	Kings Hwy - 11th, 13th, 14th & 15th St	Hemplon	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2015 9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$213,000 \$579,000		213,000 579,000		\$2 702 \$7,348				1.252 3.430	15.80			40 \$	
22	Roes Avenue Cable Rd - Central Rd to Huntervale Ave	Hampton Rye	343 343		Main Replacement Main Replacement	9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$85,000		65,000		\$825				385	8 53			13 \$	
24	CROIS KC - CAUTHY UT to URILIAMA VAS	nye	343	1 20 76	Ment Jahanhuerr	9/30/2013	1000112010	48	300 000	•	40,000		9023				505			,,,		
25	2016 Projects																					
28	Production Meters	Hampton	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2016	10/31/2018	j.	\$7,000		7,000		\$808				141	15.80			40 \$	
27	High St - Locke Rd to Windmill Ln	Hampton	343	1.20%		9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$863,000		663,000		\$8 411				3,928	15 80			40 5	
28	Kings Hwy - Redcost Ln to Winnacunnet Rd	Hempton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2015	10/31/2015	í	\$105,000	\$	105,000		\$1,332	\$ 1.332	3 1,244	5	622	15 80	5.60	22	.40 \$	1,731
29																						
						,	TOTALS	3	3 229 696	3	3 179 696	\$	69.092	\$ 68.054	\$ 38 411	S	19 205				- 5	59.897
							1000	-			2018 1				10 2018 1		0 2018 1				_	to 2018 1,
	*Total capital costs are reduced by \$50,000 prop	ortionately ner C	order No. 2	539. Re	tirements are also o	vistanotrogor	reduced				Ln Z				Ln 28		Ln4					Ln 30

<sup>&</sup>quot;Total capital costs are reduced by \$50,000 proportionately per Order No. 25,539. Retire" Type of Project (designations will be given for various types of projects)
""Property Tax Expense is for nine months for the 2014 proposed surcharge projects.

# Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire 2017 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION OF SURCHARGE

Line						Schedule Ref.							
1 2	Total Investment thro	ough 09/30/20	14			2018 3 Col 6b Ln 49	\$	4,195,696					
3 4	Accumulated Deprec	iation		2018 3 Col 8a Ln 49	2018 3 Col 8a Ln 49 (2								
5 6 7	Net Investment					Ln 2 + Ln 4	\$	4,170,373					
8 9	Allowed Return on Ra	ate Base				2018 2 Col 10	-	7.49%					
10 11	Allowed Return on In	vestment				Ln 6 x Ln 8	\$	312,361					
12	Income Tax on Equit	y Component											
14		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)								
15 16		Weighted Cost	Tax Multiplier	Pre tax Cost	Tax Gross Up Col (c) - Col (a)								
17			20022000 02 100										
18	Debt	3.58%	1.00	3.58%	0.00%								
19 20	Equity	3.91%	1.68	6.57%	2.66%	<u>.</u>							
21		7.49%		10.15%	2.66%								
22													
23 24	Total Eligible Investm	ent (Line 6 a	bove)		\$ 4,170,373								
25													
26 27	Income Tax Expense					Ln 21 Col D X Ln 23		110,932					
28 29	Depreciation Expense	e				2018 3 Col 8 Ln 49		50,646					
30 31	Property Tax Expens	е				2018 3 Coi 10 Ln 49		73,015					
32	Adjustment: Annual F	Revenues Allo	wed			Lines 10 + 26 + 28 + 30	\$	546,955					
33 34													
35	Base Revenues on w	hich Adiustme	ent will be a	polied									
36	Revenues allowed D						\$	7,129,043					
37	Misc. Charges not s	ubject to WIC	Α					(189,059)					
38							\$	6,939,984					
39 40	Surcharge Percent (L	ine 32 divided	by Line 38	3)				7.8812%					

### Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire 2017 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

#### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE & CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT COMPLETED PROJECTS

3 Main Rep 4 Hydrants 5 Services' 6 Valves' 7 2014 Pro 8 Productic 9 Main Rep 10 2015 Pro 11 Productic 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Productic 16 Main Rep 17	on Meters oplacements s"	30-Sep-13 30-Sep-13 30-Sep-13	Actual Completion Date 30-Sep-13 30-Sep-13	Estimated Project Cost=	Actual Project Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Project Cost minus Accumulated Depreciation	Deprecia Expens		operty Tax Expense	Income Tax Expense	Rate of Return			+ 7 + 8 + 9 WICA Adjustment	
2 Production 3 Main Rep 4 Hydrants 5 Services* 6 Valves* 7 2014 Pro 8 Production 9 Main Rep 10 2015 Pro 11 Production 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Production 16 Main Rep 17	on Meters oplacements s"	30-Sep-13 30-Sep-13		\$ 18,030	970								Annual Rete Revenues		WICA	
3 Main Rep 4 Hydrants 5 Services' 6 Valves' 7 2014 Pro 8 Productic 9 Main Rep 10 2015 Pro 11 Productic 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Productic 16 Main Rep 17	spiacementa s°	30-Sep-13 30-Sep-13		\$ 18,030												
4 Hydrants 5 Services* 6 Valives* 7 2014 Pro 8 Productic 9 Main Rep 10 2015 Pro 11 Productic 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Productic 16 Main Rep 17	9*	30-Sap-13	30-Sep-13						188 \$	237	\$ 431	7.49%	\$	7,129,043	2,372	
5 Services' 6 Valves' 7 2014 Pro 8 Productio 9 Main Rep 10 2915 Pro 11 Productio 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Productio 16 Main Rep 17				5 -	\$ 689,856	\$ 4,027	\$ 685,82	5 8,	)54 S	14 944	\$ 18,243	7 49%	\$	7,129,043	92.609	
6 Valves* 7 2014 Pro 8 Productio 9 Main Rep 10 2015 Pro 11 Productic 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Productic 16 Main Rep 17	•		30-Sep-13	\$ 32,700	\$ 8,147	\$ 87	\$ 8,06	\$	174 \$	161	5 214	7.49%	5	7,129,043	1,153	
7 2014 Production 9 Main Rep 10 2015 Pro 11 Production 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Production 16 Main Rep 17	,	30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	\$ 80,600	5 14,414	S 126	\$ 14,28	\$ :	252 \$	288	5 380	7.49%	5	7,129.043	1,988	
8 Production 9 Main Rep 10 2015 Pro 11 Production 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Production 16 Main Rep 17		30-Sep-13	30-Sep-13	\$ 10,800	\$ 5,167	\$ 129	5.03	S .	258 S	108	\$ 134	7.49%	S	7,129,043	878	
8 Production 9 Main Rep 10 2015 Pro 11 Production 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Production 16 Main Rep 17	piects															
10 2015 Pro 11 Production 12 Main Rep 13 14 2015 Pro 15 Production 16 Main Rep 17	on Meters	30-Sep-14	30-Sep-14	\$ 1,600	\$ 3,044	\$ 61	\$ 2.98	S	122 \$	62	5 79	7.49%	S	7.129.043	487	
11 Production 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Production 16 Main Rep 17	placements	30-Sep-14	30-Sep-14	\$ 937.968	\$ 593,803	\$ 3,502	\$ 590.10	\$ 7.	004 5	13.074	\$ 15.697	7.49%	S	7,129,043	79.972	
11 Production 12 Main Rep 13 14 2016 Pro 15 Production 16 Main Rep 17	olects				240 CONTRACTO								-	1,1		
13 14 2016 Pro 15 Productio 16 Main Rep 17	ion Meters	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 18	\$ 6,98	. 5	36 \$	13	S 186	7 49%	\$	7,129,043	758	
14 2015 Pro 15 Production 16 Main Rep 17	placaments	30-Sec-15	30-Sep-14	\$1,067,000	5 1.067,000	\$ 6321	\$ 1,060,67	\$ 12.	342 \$	17,244	\$ 28.214	7 49%	5	7,129,043	137,545	
15 Production 16 Main Rep 17	**************************************												-		,	
16 Main Rep 17	olects															
17	on Meters	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	5 7,000	\$ 7.000	S 141	\$ 6,85	\$ :	281 \$	105	\$ 182	7.49%	5	7.129.043	1.083	
	placements	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$ 768,000	\$ 788,000	\$ 4 550	\$ 763.45	S 9	99 \$	12 662	\$ 20,308	7.49%	S	7.129.043	99,252	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2000 N 1281 N M												1404	40.00	
18 2017 Pro	plects															
19 Productio		30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$ 7,000	5 7.000	\$ 141	5 6,85	\$ 5	281 \$	105	\$ 182	7 49%	5	7,129,043	1,083	
20 Main Rep	on Melers	30-Sep-15	30-Sep-14	\$1,009,000	\$ 1,009,000	\$ 5,977	\$ 1,003.02	5 11	954 \$	14 014	\$ 26,680	7 49%	S	7.129.043	127,775	
21																
22	on Meters epiacements															
23																
TOTALS																

<sup>\*</sup> Emergency Replacement
\*\* Per Docket Filing DW 13-314

### Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire 2017 Annual WICA Surcharge Filing (2014 Estimate)

### WATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND CONSERVATION ADJUSTMENT ELIGIBLE PROJECTS PLACED IN SERVICE

Line	Description of Project		PUC Acc	2 Depr	3 Type of Project*	4 Date in Service	5 Date of 1st Filing	C	6a Total er∉al Costs	Ell	6b Igible al Costs	7a Total Retromo	nta A	7b Eligibie letrements	8 = (6b - 7b) x Depreciation Expense	- /	Ba = 8 x 50% Accumulated Depreciation		g VII Reti	-		# (((8p-7b-8a)/1000) # 9) Prop. Tax Expense***
	Street 2013 Projects	Town	,,,,																			
1	Production Meters	Rye	328	4 40%	Other Pomping	8/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	3,432	5	3.432	\$ 3,6	33 \$	3,033	\$ 1	8 \$	8	8 53	6 60	151	3 \$	6
2	Production Meters	North Hampton	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	4.511	\$	4.511	5 2:	31 5	2.331	5 9	8 5	48	14 26	6 80	20 8	36 S	44
3	Production Meters	Hampton	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	\$	8,522	5	8 522	\$	5		\$ 37	5 5	187	15 80	6 60	22 4	10 5	187
4	Church Street-Highland Avenue to Williams Street	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	-	133,335		133,335	-	43 \$	6.243	\$ 1.52	5 5	763	15 80	6 60	22 4	10 \$	2.830
5	Aubum Avenue	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		206,750		208,750		48 3			30.00	1,178	15 80		1000	10 5	4.373
6	Aubum Avenus Extension	Hempton	343	10.000	Main Replacement	9:30/2013	10/31/2013		B5.554		85.554		59 \$				511	*5 60	6.60		10 5	1,897
7	Perkins Avenue	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		264,216		264,216		BD \$			1.4	1.575	15 80	8 60		10 5	5.844
ė	Hydrants*	Hampton	348	2 40%	Hydrants	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		22,839		8.147	77	an s			4 5	87	15 80	6.60		10 5	161
	Services*		345	1 85%	Services								94 5			" 3 1 S	108	15.80			10 5	253
10	Services"	Hempton	345	1 85%	Services	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		33,941	550	12,108	(C)				1 5		8 53			13 5	253
		Rye	349	5 00%	Other T&D Plant	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	-	6,464		2,306		30 \$				21				-	
11	Valvos*	Hampton	700	2.5	Other T&D Plant	9/30/2013	10/31/2013		8,351		2,265		3		- TO 1000	3 \$	67	15.80			10 \$	49
12	Valves*	North Hampton	349	5 00%	Other IGO Paint	9/30/2013	10/31/2013	3	6,133	2	2,901	2	S		5 14	5 \$	73	*4 25	6 60	20 6	16 \$	59
13	2014 Projects		12/2000		2010 20										9	5 U						17 995
14	Production Meters	Hampton	328	4 40%		B/30/2014	10/31/2014		3.044		3,044		64 5			2 5	61	-5.80			10 8	62
15	Well 9 Transmission Main	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2014	10/31/2014	370		2	.,,	G 50	60 \$	3,160	57	2 \$	328	15 80	6 60	5000000	10 5	1,217
16	Ocean Boulevard- Dumas Ave to Winnschnnet Rd	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9:30/2014	10/31/2014	\$	536,096	\$	536,096	5 6	01 \$	6,801	\$ 6,35	2 \$	3,178	15 80	6 60	22 4	10 \$	11,856
17																						
18	2015 Projects Production Meters					******			\$7,000		7.000		186 \$	6,165		6 5		15 80	6.60	22.	10 S	13
19	Great Boars Head - Cliff Circle to Ocean Blvd	Hempton	328 343	4.40%	Other Pumping Main Replacement	9/30/2015 9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$210,000		210.000		100 a 684 S				1.244	15.80	6.60		10 5	3,462
21	Kings Hwy - 11th, 13th, 14th & 15th St	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2015	10/31/2015		5213,000		213,003		702 5	2,702			1.262	15.00			2 01	3,512
22	Ross Avenue	Hampton	343		Main Replacement	970/2015	10/31/2015		\$579.000		579.000		346 \$				3 430	-5 80			10 5	9,546
23	Cable Rd - Central Rd to Huntervale Ave	Rye	343		Main Replacement	9/30/2015	10/31/2015		\$85,000		65 000		B25 S			0 5	385	6 53			3 5	724
24		.,,0		, 24,4	man itop assertion				,				•			-						
25	2016 Projects																					
26	Production Meters	Hampton	328	4 40%	Other Pumping	9,30/2016	10/31/2016	1	\$7,000		7,000	5	808	608		1 5	141	15 80			10 \$	105
27	High St - Locke Rd to Windmill Ln	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2016	10/31/2016		\$663,000		663,000		411 \$				3,928	15 80			10 5	10,931
28	Kings Hwy - Redcost Ln to Winnacinnet Rd	Hampton	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	9/30/2016	10/31/2016	;	\$105,000	5	105,000	\$1	332 \$	1,332	\$ 1,24	4 5	822	15 80	5 60	22.4	40 S	1,731
29	MANAGEMENT IN A																					
30	2016 Projects	1000000	-		2010	2000000		30		-							***					405
31	Production Meters	Hempton	328	4 40%		9-30/2017	10/31/2017		\$7,000 \$519,000		7,000 519,000		606 \$ 584 \$			1 5	141 3.074	15 80		22 4		105 8,557
32 33	Ocean Bird - Boars Head Terr to Dumas Ave	Hampton	343		Mein Replacement	9/30/2017	10/31/2017		\$490,000		490,000		216 \$				2 903	8 53			13 5	5 457
33	Central Rd - Huntervale Ave to Love Ln	Rye	343	1 20%	Main Replacement	8/30/2017	10/31/201/		3460,000		450,000	30	210 3	3,215	- 300	5 3	2 803	9 93	0 00	15		2,437
							TOTALS	5	4 245 696	5 4	195.695	\$ 82.	99 3	79 461	\$ 50.64	0 5	25 323				5	73,015
								_			0161				10 2018 1	_	to 2016 1.				-	to 2018 1.
	'Total capital costs are reduced by \$50,000 prop	ortionately per C	Order No. 2	5.639, Rel	drements are also be	reportionately	reduced.				Ln 2				Ln 28		Ln 4					Ln 30

<sup>&</sup>quot;Total capital costs are reduced by \$50,000 proportionately per Order No. 25,639. Retirements are also proportionately reduced.
"Type of Project (designations will be given for various types of projects)
""Property Tax Expense is for nine months for the 2014 proposed surcharge projects.

Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Technical Session Data Requests – Set #1

Date Request Received: December 9, 2014

Date of Response: December 15, 2014

Request No.: TS 1-3 Witness: Carl McMorran

**REQUEST:** The WICA section of the tariff in Part I indicates mains and valves "installed as replacements for existing facilities" are WICA-eligible. Regarding the response to Staff 1-1:

a) Were each of the appurtenances listed in relation to the well 9 transmission main (isolation valves, flow conditioner, check valve, air relief valve) replacements of existing equipment?

b) To the extent any were not, would the company be amenable to removing the cost of those items from the WICA-eligible portion of the project?

#### RESPONSE:

a) Yes, all costs and equipment used in the Well 9 transmission main were for the replacement of existing piping and appurtenances.

b) Cost for other equipment associated with the project (such as chemical injectors, sample tap lines, pressure gauges, etc.) that are not directly related to the piping have already been excluded from the WICA submittal.

### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

**Inter-Department Communication** 

DATE:

December 17, 2014

AT (OFFICE):

**NHPUC** 

FROM:

Jayson P. Laflamme, Utility Analyst, Gas-Water Division

SUBJECT:

DW 14-300, Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc. 2015 Water Infrastructure and Conservation Adjustment Filing

TO:

Mark A. Naylor

Director, Gas-Water Division

This memo is being submitted at your request to summarize my review of docket DW 14-300, the petition of Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc. (Aquarion) relative to its 2015 Water Infrastructure and Conservation Adjustment (WICA) Filing. The petition requests approval of Aquarion's proposed WICA surcharge for effect as of January 1, 2015 on a service rendered basis as well as approval of Aquarion's proposed projects for 2015 as being eligible for recovery through the WICA surcharge mechanism. My review focused primarily on the calculation of the proposed WICA surcharge of 2.5386% to go into effect on January 1, 2015. A detailed explanation of this calculation is contained in the direct testimony of Troy M. Dixon, Director of Rates and Regulation for Aquarion Water Company of Connecticut, Inc., which accompanied Aquarion's WICA filing.

I reviewed the calculation of the 2.5386% WICA surcharge percentage as presented on Attachment TD-1 of Mr. Dixon's testimony and found no errors or exceptions in that calculation. Aquarion's calculated WICA surcharge is based upon a total WICA investment of \$1,330,696. This amount includes \$734,050 of eligible WICA investment placed into service during the 2013 program year (10/1/12 – 9/30/13) which was reviewed by Staff as part of DW 13-314, Aquarion's prior year WICA filing. The other portion consists of Aquarion's 2014 WICA investment in the amount of \$596,646. I reviewed copies of invoices and other schedules in support of this amount which represents the eligible WICA investment placed in service by Aquarion during the 2014 program year (10/1/13 – 9/30/14). The 2014 investment consists of the Well 9 Flow Meter project for \$3,044, the Well 9 Transmission Main project for \$57,506, and the Ocean Boulevard Main Replacement project for \$536,096. My review of the underlying invoices and schedules for these three projects revealed no errors or exceptions.

In conclusion, I believe that the proposed WICA surcharge of 2.5386% is both adequately supported and calculated appropriately and will result in just and reasonable rates for Aquarion and its customers.

### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

**Inter-Department Communication** 

**DATE:** December 22, 2014 **AT (OFFICE):** NHPUC

FROM: Douglas W. Brogan

SUBJECT: DW 14-300, Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire

2015 WICA Adjustment Filing

TO: Mark A. Naylor

Director, Gas & Water Division

This memo is being submitted at your request to provide observations and recommendations in relation to docket DW 14-300, the 2015 WICA adjustment filing of Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire (Aquarion or company). As the former Division water/sewer engineer, I am acquainted with Aquarion's water system and its WICA pilot program. I also filed recommendations as a consultant to the Commission in the company's previous WICA docket, DW 13-314. My review is limited primarily to the engineering and operational aspects of the current filing and is based on review of the filing itself, case discovery, participation in a technical session on December 9, 2014, and a brief telephone conversation with Carl McMorran, Aquarion Operations Manager, on December 16, 2014. While my review included the smaller WICA replacements such as valves, services, hydrants and meters, the focus of my comments is the water main replacement projects that comprise the core of the filing.

### Projects Completed in 2014 (for 2015 WICA Adjustment)

Two projects were completed by the September 30, 2014 cutoff for inclusion in the 2015 WICA adjustment. Both were on the list of projects approved in DW 13-314 for construction this year.

The larger of the two, "Ocean Boulevard - Dumas Ave to Winnacunnet Road", has appeared on WICA lists dating to 2009. Earlier cost estimates had been reduced significantly based on a decision to use trenchless technology (pipe bursting) instead of open cut construction. The company was ultimately able to complete the project for even less than the most recent estimate (\$536,096 v. the \$663,968 estimate in DW 13-314) due to favorable conditions encountered during bidding and construction (response to Staff 1-2 in the current docket).

The smaller project, "Well 9 Transmission Main", was completed at a higher cost per foot than any main replacement project done by the company in the last ten years (\$1,440/foot v. the next highest project at \$552/foot, see table at end of my March 24, 2014 memo in DW 13-314). This project was initially envisioned as involving replacement of up to 300 feet of unlined cast iron main, but was ultimately limited to the 40 feet considered of greatest concern to the reliability of Well 9. Roughly half of the replaced main was located outside the Well 9 pump station and half inside. The high per foot cost is due largely to the short pipe sections, multiple joints and other associated replacement work inside the station. The company initially anticipated excluding the cost of appurtenances such as pump station valves and air reliefs, but in the end decided to

include all items directly related to replacement of the main itself. The company did eliminate costs of other upgrades such as chemical injectors, gauges and sample tap lines from the WICA filing (see responses to Staff 1-1 and TS 1-3). While the resulting project has the somewhat unusual appearance of a pump station upgrade billed as a WICA main replacement, that view belies the project's history, and the project does appear to comply generally with the WICA-eligibility criteria in the company's tariff. The project was completed for \$57,506, nearly \$10,000 less than the DW 13-314 estimate. The production meter inside the station was replaced as a separate WICA-eligible item, as noted in the current filing.

### **Future Projects**

A third project on the approved list from DW 13-314 for construction in 2014, "Great Boars Head - Cliff Circle to Ocean Blvd", was not completed by the September 30 cutoff date for reasons detailed in Mr. McMorran's testimony (p. 5), thus moving the project to next year's WICA list. Actual project completion is anticipated by the end of this year. While changes to approved lists was an issue in DW 13-314, the company attempted to complete this project in time for the 2015 adjustment filing; its inability to do so was not certain until near the end of the WICA construction cycle; and the circumstances appear to fall outside the newly inserted tariff criteria requiring notification of parties concerning changes to approved 'Year 1' project lists ("the Company plans to undertake projects ... that were not included on the list of approved WICA projects ... or ... has decided not to proceed with one or more projects that were included").

A number of projects are under consideration for possible cleaning and lining instead of replacement, but the evaluation of which is the preferred alternative for a given project will not be made until a design phase closer in time to actual construction. Cleaning and lining could significantly reduce costs for any eligible projects, as current cost estimates are based on full replacement.

Of the two projects preliminarily approved in DW 13-314 for completion in the 2015 construction season, one has been retained (Ross Avenue) and the other dropped entirely from the current filing (Gentian/Green/Meadow Pond). Two other projects have been added for 2015 (Kings Highway and Cable Road). Such juggling of projects is not unusual in balancing changing priorities, cost factors, coordination with town and state projects and such. The projects as currently proposed for 2015 are supported by Mr. McMorran's testimony (pp. 8-10) and case discovery and appear reasonable. The projects slated for construction in 2016 and 2017 similarly raise no significant concerns at this point in time.

#### Conclusion

In light of the above comments and the information provided in the case, I believe the 2014 investments are used and useful and were prudently incurred, and recommend approval. I also recommend approval of the 2015 and 2016 projects as proposed by Aquarion.

I trust these comments are responsive to your request. Please let me know if you need anything further in this regard.